

Zeros of some bi-orthogonal polynomials

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2002 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 517

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/35/3/305>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.107

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 10:16

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Zeros of some bi-orthogonal polynomials

Madan Lal Mehta¹

CEA/Saclay, Service de Physique Théorique, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

E-mail: mehta@spt.saclay.cea.fr

Received 3 September 2001, in final form 1 November 2001

Published 11 January 2002

Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/35/517

Abstract

Ercolani and McLaughlin have recently shown that the zeros of the bi-orthogonal polynomials with the weight $w(x, y) = \exp[-(V_1(x) + V_2(y) + 2cxy)/2]$, relevant to a model of two coupled Hermitian matrices, are real and simple. We show that their argument applies to the more general case of the weight $(w_1 * w_2 * \dots * w_j)(x, y)$, a convolution of several weights of the same form. This general case is relevant to a model of several Hermitian matrices coupled in a chain. Their argument also works for more general weights such as $W(x, y) = e^{-x-y}/(x+y)$, $0 \leq x, y < \infty$, and for a convolution of several such weights.

PACS numbers: 02.10.Yn, 02.10.Ab, 02.30.Gp, 05.50.+q

1. Introduction

For a weight function $w(x, y)$ such that all the moments

$$M_{i,j} := \int w(x, y) x^i y^j dx dy \quad (1.1)$$

exist and

$$D_n := \det[M_{i,j}]_{i,j=0,1,\dots,n} \neq 0 \quad (1.2)$$

for all $n \geq 0$, unique monic polynomials $p_n(x)$ and $q_n(x)$ of degree n exist satisfying the bi-orthogonality relations (a polynomial is called monic when the coefficient of the highest degree is one)

$$\int w(x, y) p_n(x) q_m(y) dx dy = h_n \delta_{mn}. \quad (1.3)$$

¹ Member of CNRS, France.

Just like the orthogonal polynomials they can be expressed as determinants, e.g.

$$p_n(x) = \frac{1}{D_{n-1}} \det \begin{bmatrix} M_{0,0} & \dots & M_{0,n-1} & 1 \\ M_{1,0} & \dots & M_{1,n-1} & x \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ M_{n,0} & \dots & M_{n,n-1} & x^n \end{bmatrix} \tag{1.4}$$

and have integral representations, e.g.

$$p_n(x) \propto \int \Delta_n(\mathbf{x}) \Delta_n(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{j=1}^n (x - x_j) w(x_j, y_j) dx_j dy_j \tag{1.5}$$

$$\Delta_n(\mathbf{x}) := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i) \quad \Delta_n(\mathbf{y}) := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (y_j - y_i). \tag{1.6}$$

From limited numerical evidence for the weights

- (i) $w(x, y) = \sin(\pi xy)$, $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$;
- (ii) $w(x, y) = |x - y|$, $-1 \leq x, y \leq 1$;
- (iii) $w(x, y) = e^{-x-y}/(x + y)$, $0 \leq x, y < \infty$;
- (iv) $w(x, y) = \exp(-x^2 - y^2 - cxy)$, $-\infty < x, y < \infty$, $0 < c < 2$;

one might think that the zeros of the bi-orthogonal polynomials are real, simple, lie respectively in the x - or y -support of $w(x, y)$, interlace for successive n, \dots

Alas, this is not true in general as seen by the following example due to Pierre Deligne. If one takes

$$w(x, y) = u(x, y) + v(x, y) \tag{1.7}$$

$$u(x, y) = \begin{cases} \delta(x - y) & -1 \leq x, y \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

$$v(x, y) = \frac{1}{8}[\delta(x - 1)\delta(y + 2) + \delta(x + 1)\delta(y - 2)]. \tag{1.9}$$

Then $p_3(x)$ and $q_3(x)$ have complex zeros.

However, Ercolani and Mclaughlin have recently [1] shown that with the weight function

$$w(x, y) = \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} V_1(x) - \frac{1}{2} V_2(y) - cxy \right] \tag{1.10}$$

($-\infty < x, y < \infty$), V_1 and V_2 polynomials of positive even degree, c a small non-zero real constant, all the zeros of the bi-orthogonal polynomials $p_n(x)$ and $q_n(x)$ are real and simple.

In this brief paper we will show that their argument works for the following general case encountered in random Hermitian matrices coupled in a linear chain. Let $V_j(x)$, $1 \leq j \leq p$, be polynomials of positive even degree and c_j , $1 \leq j < p$, be small real constants, none of them being zero ('small' so that all the moments $M_{i,j}$ defined below, equation (1.13), exist). Further let

$$w_k(x, y) := \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} V_k(x) - \frac{1}{2} V_{k+1}(y) - c_k xy \right] \tag{1.11}$$

$$(w_{i_1} * w_{i_2} * \dots * w_{i_k})(\xi_1, \xi_{k+1}) := \int w_{i_1}(\xi_1, \xi_2) w_{i_2}(\xi_2, \xi_3) \dots w_{i_k}(\xi_k, \xi_{k+1}) d\xi_2 \dots d\xi_k. \tag{1.12}$$

Moreover, assume that for all $i, j \geq 0$

$$M_{i,j} := \int x^i (w_1 * w_2 * \dots * w_{p-1})(x, y) y^j dx dy \tag{1.13}$$

exist.

Theorem. Then monic polynomials $p_j(x)$ and $q_j(x)$ can be uniquely defined by

$$\int p_j(x)(w_1 * w_2 * \dots * w_{p-1})(x, y)q_k(y) dx dy = h_j \delta_{jk} \tag{1.14}$$

and all the zeros of $p_j(x)$ and of $q_j(x)$ are real and simple.

The same argument works for any weight $W(x, y)$ such that $\det[W(x_i, y_j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,n} > 0$ for $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n, y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_n$ and moments $M_{i,j} = \int W(x, y)x^i y^j dx dy$ exist for all $i, j \geq 0$. For example, if $W(x, y) = e^{-x-y}/(x + y), 0 \leq x, y < \infty$, then monic polynomials $p_j(x)$ can be uniquely defined by

$$\int_0^\infty p_j(x)W(x, y)p_k(y) dx dy = h_j \delta_{jk} \tag{1.15}$$

(here $W(x, y)$ is symmetric in x and y so that $p_j(x) = q_j(x)$) and all the zeros of $p_j(x)$ are real, simple and non-negative.

The weight function $W(x, y) = (w_1 * w_2 * \dots * w_p)(x, y)$ with equations (1.11) and (1.12) is relevant to a model of p Hermitian matrices coupled in a chain [2]. In fact all the correlation functions of the eigenvalues of these matrices can be expressed as determinants whose elements are combinations of $P_{i,j}(x)$ and $Q_{i,j}(x)$, equations (2.1)–(2.6), where $p_j(x)$ and $q_j(x)$ are polynomials bi-orthogonal with respect to this $W(x, y)$. For example, the eigenvalue density of the matrices at the ends of the chain is related to the density of the zeros of these bi-orthogonal polynomials. A knowledge of the distribution of the zeros of $P_{i,j}(x)$ and $Q_{i,j}(x)$ can thus be very useful to study the correlation functions in the above model.

2. Results and proofs

Here we essentially follow section 3 of [1]. With any monic polynomials $p_j(x)$ and $q_j(x)$ of degree j , let us write

$$P_{1,j}(x) := p_j(x) \tag{2.1}$$

$$P_{i,j}(x) := \int p_j(\xi)U_{Li}(\xi, x) d\xi \quad 1 < i \leq p \tag{2.2}$$

$$U_{Li}(\xi, x) := (w_1 * w_2 * \dots * w_{i-1})(\xi, x) \quad 1 < i \leq p \tag{2.3}$$

$$Q_{p,j}(x) := q_j(x) \tag{2.4}$$

$$Q_{i,j}(x) := \int U_{Ri}(x, \xi)q_j(\xi) d\xi \quad 1 \leq i < p \tag{2.5}$$

$$U_{Ri}(x, \xi) := (w_i * w_{i+1} * \dots * w_{p-1})(x, \xi) \quad 1 \leq i < p \tag{2.6}$$

Note that $P_{i,j}(x)$ and $Q_{i,j}(x)$ are not necessarily polynomials.

Lemma 1. For $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n, y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_n$

$$\det[w_i(x_j, y_k)]_{j,k=1,\dots,n} > 0. \tag{2.7}$$

This is essentially equation (40) of [1]. This can also be seen as follows. Let X and Y be two $n \times n$ diagonal matrices with diagonal elements x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_n respectively. Then the integral of $\exp[-c \operatorname{tr}(UXU^{-1}Y)]$ over the $n \times n$ unitary matrices U is given by [3]

$$K \frac{\det[\exp(-c x_i y_j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,n}}{\Delta_n(\mathbf{x})\Delta_n(\mathbf{y})} \tag{2.8}$$

where K is a positive constant depending on c and n . Hence

$$\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n [V_i(x_j) + V_{i+1}(y_j)] \right\} \int dU e^{-c, \text{tr} U X U^{-1} Y} = K \frac{\det[w_i(x_j, y_k)]_{j,k=1, \dots, n}}{\Delta_n(\mathbf{x}) \Delta_n(\mathbf{y})}. \quad (2.9)$$

The left-hand side is evidently positive while on the right hand side the denominator is positive since $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$ and $y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_n$. From this equation (2.7) follows.

Lemma 2. For $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n, y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_n$,

$$\det[(w_{i_1} * w_{i_2} * \dots * w_{i_\ell})(x_j, y_k)]_{j,k=1, \dots, n} > 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. Binet–Cauchy formula tells us that [4]

$$\det[(w_{i_1} * w_{i_2})(x_j, y_k)]_{j,k=1, \dots, n}$$

is equal to

$$\int_{\xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \dots \leq \xi_n} \det[w_{i_1}(x_j, \xi_k)]_{j,k=1, \dots, n} \cdot \det[w_{i_2}(\xi_j, y_k)]_{j,k=1, \dots, n} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_n. \quad (2.11)$$

By lemma 1 the integrand is everywhere positive, so lemma 2 is proved for the case $\ell = 2$. The proof is now completed by induction on ℓ , again using the Binet–Cauchy formula. \square

Lemma 3. For any monic polynomial $p_j(x)$ of degree j , $P_{i,j}(x)$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, may have at most j distinct real zeros. Similarly, for any monic polynomial $q_j(x)$ of degree j , $Q_{i,j}(x)$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, may have at most j distinct real zeros.

Proof. Let, if possible, $z_1 < z_2 < \dots < z_m$, $m > j$, be the distinct real zeros of $P_{i,j}(x)$. Since

$$P_{i,j}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^j a_k T_{i,k}(x) \quad (2.12)$$

with

$$T_{i,k}(x) := \int \xi^k U_{Li}(\xi, x) d\xi \quad (2.13)$$

$$P_{i,j}(z_\ell) = 0 \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad m > j \quad (2.14)$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \det \begin{bmatrix} T_{i,0}(z_1) & T_{i,1}(z_1) & \dots & T_{i,j}(z_1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ T_{i,0}(z_{j+1}) & T_{i,1}(z_{j+1}) & \dots & T_{i,j}(z_{j+1}) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \int \det \begin{bmatrix} U_{Li}(\xi_1, z_1) & \xi_2 U_{Li}(\xi_2, z_1) & \dots & \xi_{j+1}^j U_{Li}(\xi_{j+1}, z_1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ U_{Li}(\xi_1, z_{j+1}) & \xi_2 U_{Li}(\xi_2, z_{j+1}) & \dots & \xi_{j+1}^j U_{Li}(\xi_{j+1}, z_{j+1}) \end{bmatrix} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_{j+1} \\ &= \int \xi_2 \xi_3^2 \dots \xi_{j+1}^j \det[U_{Li}(\xi_k, z_\ell)]_{k,\ell=1, \dots, j+1} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_{j+1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

or

$$\int \det[U_{Li}(\xi_k, z_\ell)]_{k,\ell=1, \dots, j+1} \cdot \det[\xi_k^{\ell-1}]_{k,\ell=1, \dots, j+1} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_{j+1} = 0 \quad (2.16)$$

in contradiction to lemma 2. Thus m cannot be greater than j . \square

The proof for $Q_{i,j}(x)$ is similar.

Lemma 4. Let the real constants c_1, \dots, c_{p-1} , none of them being zero, be such that $M_{i,j}$ defined by equation (1.13) exist for all $i, j \geq 0$. Then

$$D_n := \det[M_{i,j}]_{i,j=1,\dots,n} \neq 0 \tag{2.17}$$

for any $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Let, if possible, $D_n = 0$ for some n . Then $\sum_{j=0}^n M_{i,j}q_j = 0$, q_j not all zero, and

$$\int x^i U_{Lp}(x, y) \sum_{j=0}^n q_j y^j dx dy = 0 \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n \tag{2.18}$$

or

$$\int p_i(x) U_{Lp}(x, y) \sum_{j=0}^n q_j y^j dx dy = 0 \tag{2.19}$$

for any polynomial $p_i(x)$ of degree $i \leq n$. But

$$\int U_{Lp}(x, y) \sum_{j=0}^n q_j y^j dy \tag{2.20}$$

has at most n distinct real zeros (lemma 3). So one can choose $p_i(x)$ such that

$$p_i(x) \int U_{Lp}(x, y) \sum_{j=0}^n q_j y^j dy > 0 \tag{2.21}$$

in contradiction to equation (2.19). So $D_n \neq 0$ and bi-orthogonal polynomials $p_j(x), q_j(x)$ exist (see equations (1.4) and (1.5)). \square

Lemma 5. Let $p_j(x), q_j(x)$ be the bi-orthogonal polynomials, equation (1.14); or with the definitions (2.1)–(2.6)

$$\int P_{i,j}(x) Q_{i,k}(x) dx = h_j \delta_{jk} \quad 1 \leq i \leq p. \tag{2.22}$$

Then $P_{i,j}(x)$ has at least j real distinct zeros of odd multiplicity. So does have $Q_{i,j}(x)$.

Proof. Assuming $m > 0$, let, if possible, $z_1 < z_2 < \dots < z_m, m < j$, be the only real zeros of $P_{i,j}(x)$ of odd multiplicity. Set

$$R(x) = \det \begin{bmatrix} Q_{i,0}(x) & Q_{i,1}(x) & \dots & Q_{i,m}(x) \\ Q_{i,0}(z_1) & Q_{i,1}(z_1) & \dots & Q_{i,m}(z_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ Q_{i,0}(z_m) & Q_{i,1}(z_m) & \dots & Q_{i,m}(z_m) \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.23}$$

$$= \int U_{Ri}(x, \xi) \sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_k \xi^k d\xi \tag{2.24}$$

with some constants α_k depending on z_1, \dots, z_m .

Since $m < j$, the bi-orthogonality gives

$$\int P_{i,j}(x) R(x) dx = 0. \tag{2.25}$$

However, $R(x)$ can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(x) &= \int \det \begin{bmatrix} U_{Ri}(x, \xi_0) & U_{Ri}(x, \xi_1)\xi_1 & \dots & U_{Ri}(x, \xi_m)\xi_m^m \\ U_{Ri}(z_1, \xi_0) & U_{Ri}(z_1, \xi_1)\xi_1 & \dots & U_{Ri}(z_1, \xi_m)\xi_m^m \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ U_{Ri}(z_m, \xi_0) & U_{Ri}(z_m, \xi_1)\xi_1 & \dots & U_{Ri}(z_m, \xi_m)\xi_m^m \end{bmatrix} d\xi_0 d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_m \\
 &= \int \det \begin{bmatrix} U_{Ri}(x, \xi_0) & U_{Ri}(x, \xi_1) & \dots & U_{Ri}(x, \xi_m) \\ U_{Ri}(z_1, \xi_0) & U_{Ri}(z_1, \xi_1) & \dots & U_{Ri}(z_1, \xi_m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ U_{Ri}(z_m, \xi_0) & U_{Ri}(z_m, \xi_1) & \dots & U_{Ri}(z_m, \xi_m) \end{bmatrix} \xi_1 \xi_2^2 \dots \xi_m^m d\xi_0 d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_m \\
 &= \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \int \det \begin{bmatrix} U_{Ri}(x, \xi_0) & U_{Ri}(x, \xi_1) & \dots & U_{Ri}(x, \xi_m) \\ U_{Ri}(z_1, \xi_0) & U_{Ri}(z_1, \xi_1) & \dots & U_{Ri}(z_1, \xi_m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ U_{Ri}(z_m, \xi_0) & U_{Ri}(z_m, \xi_1) & \dots & U_{Ri}(z_m, \xi_m) \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{0 \leq r < s \leq m} (\xi_s - \xi_r) d\xi_0 d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_m \\
 &= \int_{\xi_0 \leq \xi_1 \leq \dots \leq \xi_m} \det \begin{bmatrix} U_{Ri}(x, \xi_0) & U_{Ri}(x, \xi_1) & \dots & U_{Ri}(x, \xi_m) \\ U_{Ri}(z_1, \xi_0) & U_{Ri}(z_1, \xi_1) & \dots & U_{Ri}(z_1, \xi_m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ U_{Ri}(z_m, \xi_0) & U_{Ri}(z_m, \xi_1) & \dots & U_{Ri}(z_m, \xi_m) \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{0 \leq r < s \leq m} (\xi_s - \xi_r) d\xi_0 d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_m. \tag{2.26}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $R(x)$ is represented as an integral whose integrand has a fixed sign determined by the relative ordering of the numbers x, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m (lemma 2). It thus follows that $R(x)$ changes sign when x passes through any of the points $z_k, k = 1, \dots, m$, and at no other value of x . In other words, z_1, \dots, z_m are the only real zeros of $R(x)$ having an odd multiplicity. And therefore $P_{i,j}(x)R(x)$ has a constant sign, so that

$$\int P_{i,j}(x)R(x) dx \neq 0 \tag{2.27}$$

in contradiction to (2.25).

If $P_{i,j}(x)$ has no real zeros of odd multiplicity ($m = 0$), then $R(x) = \int U_{Ri}(x, \xi) d\xi$ is everywhere positive which contradicts equation (2.25). \square

The proof for $Q_{i,j}(x)$ is similar.

As a consequence, we have the integral representations of $P_{i,j}(x)$ for $i > 1$ and of $Q_{i,j}(x)$ for $i < p$ involving their respective zeros

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{i,j}(x) &\propto \int \det \begin{bmatrix} U_{Li}(\xi_0, x) & U_{Li}(\xi_1, x) & \dots & U_{Li}(\xi_j, x) \\ U_{Li}(\xi_0, z_1) & U_{Li}(\xi_1, z_1) & \dots & U_{Li}(\xi_j, z_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ U_{Li}(\xi_0, z_j) & U_{Li}(\xi_1, z_j) & \dots & U_{Li}(\xi_j, z_j) \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{0 \leq r < s \leq j} (\xi_s - \xi_r) d\xi_0 d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_j \tag{2.28}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$Q_{i,j}(x) \propto \int \det \begin{bmatrix} U_{Ri}(x, \xi_0) & U_{Ri}(x, \xi_1) & \dots & U_{Ri}(x, \xi_j) \\ U_{Ri}(z_1, \xi_0) & U_{Ri}(z_1, \xi_1) & \dots & U_{Ri}(z_1, \xi_j) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ U_{Ri}(z_j, \xi_0) & U_{Ri}(z_j, \xi_1) & \dots & U_{Ri}(z_j, \xi_j) \end{bmatrix} \times \prod_{0 \leq r < s \leq j} (\xi_s - \xi_r) d\xi_0 d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_j. \tag{2.29}$$

Lemmas 3 and 5 tell us that if $p_j(x)$ and $q_j(x)$ are bi-orthogonal polynomials satisfying equation (1.14), then $P_{i,j}(x)$ and $Q_{i,j}(x)$ each have exactly j distinct real zeros of odd multiplicity. In particular, the zeros of the bi-orthogonal polynomials $p_j(x) \equiv P_{1,j}(x)$ and $q_j(x) \equiv Q_{p,j}(x)$ are real and simple.

With a little more effort one can perhaps show that all the real zeros of $P_{i,j}(x)$ and of $Q_{i,j}(x)$ are simple. Other zeros, if any, must be complex. Whether the zeros of $p_j(x)$ ($q_j(x)$) interlace for successive j , remains an open question.

3. Bi-orthogonal polynomials with another weight

For the weight $W(x, y) = e^{-x-y}/(x+y)$, $0 \leq x, y < \infty$, one can say as follows:

Lemma 1'. *One has [4]:*

$$\det[W(x_j, y_k)]_{j,k=1,\dots,n} = \exp \left[- \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j + y_j) \right] \Delta_n(\mathbf{x}) \Delta_n(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{j,k=1}^n (x_j + y_k)^{-1} \tag{3.1}$$

which is evidently positive for $0 \leq x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n, 0 \leq y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_n$.

Lemma 3'. *For any monic polynomial $p_j(x)$ of degree j , $P_j(x) := \int_0^\infty W(x, y)p_j(y) dy$ has at most j distinct real non-negative zeros.*

In the proof of lemma 3, replace equations (2.12)–(2.16) by

$$P_j(x) = \sum_{k=0}^j a_k T_k(x) \tag{3.2}$$

$$T_k(x) = \int_0^\infty \xi^k W(\xi, x) d\xi \tag{3.3}$$

$$P_j(z_\ell) = 0 \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad m > j \tag{3.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \det \begin{bmatrix} T_0(z_1) & T_1(z_1) & \dots & T_j(z_1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ T_0(z_{j+1}) & T_1(z_{j+1}) & \dots & T_j(z_{j+1}) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \det \begin{bmatrix} W(\xi_1, z_1) & \xi_2 W(\xi_2, z_1) & \dots & \xi_{j+1}^j W(\xi_{j+1}, z_1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ W(\xi_1, z_{j+1}) & \xi_2 W(\xi_2, z_{j+1}) & \dots & \xi_{j+1}^j W(\xi_{j+1}, z_{j+1}) \end{bmatrix} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_{j+1} \\ &= \int \xi_2 \xi_3^2 \dots \xi_{j+1}^j \det [W(\xi_k, z_\ell)]_{k,\ell=1,\dots,j+1} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_{j+1} \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

or

$$\int \det [W(\xi_k, z_\ell)]_{k,\ell=1,\dots,j+1} \cdot \det [\xi_k^{\ell-1}]_{k,\ell=1,\dots,j+1} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_{j+1} = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

in contradiction to lemma 1'. Thus m cannot be greater than j .

Lemma 4'. *With*

$$M_{i,j} := \int_0^\infty x^i W(x,y) y^j dx dy \quad (3.7)$$

$$D_n := \det[M_{i,j}]_{i,j=0,1,\dots,n} \neq 0 \quad (3.8)$$

for any $n \geq 0$.

In the proof of lemma 4 replace everywhere $\int U_{Lp}(x,y) \dots$ by $\int_0^\infty W(x,y) \dots$

Lemma 5'. *Let $p_j(x)$ be the (bi-orthogonal) polynomials satisfying*

$$\int_0^\infty W(x,y) p_j(x) p_k(y) dx dy = h_j \delta_{jk}. \quad (3.9)$$

Then $P_j(x) := \int_0^\infty W(x,y) p_j(y) dy$ and $p_j(x)$ each have at least j distinct real non-negative zeros of odd multiplicity.

Let, if possible, $0 \leq z_1 < z_2 < \dots < z_m$, $m < j$, be the only real non-negative zeros of $P_j(x)$ of odd multiplicity. Set $R(x) = \prod_{j=1}^m (x - z_j)$. Then as $m < j$, one has

$$\int_0^\infty P_j(x) R(x) dx = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

But $P_j(x)$ and $R(x)$ change sign simultaneously as x passes through the values z_1, \dots, z_m and at no other real positive value. So the product $P_j(x)R(x)$ never changes sign, in contradiction to (3.10). Therefore $P_j(x)$ has at least j distinct real non-negative zeros of odd multiplicity.

To prove that $p_j(x)$ has at least j distinct real non-negative zeros let, if possible, $0 \leq z_1 < z_2 < \dots < z_m$, $m < j$, be the only such zeros. Set

$$\begin{aligned} R(x) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} P_0(x) & P_1(x) & \dots & P_m(x) \\ P_0(z_1) & P_1(z_1) & \dots & P_m(z_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ P_0(z_m) & P_1(z_m) & \dots & P_m(z_m) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \int_0^\infty W(x, \xi) \sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_k \xi^k d\xi \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

with some constants α_k depending on z_1, \dots, z_m .

Since $m < j$, the bi-orthogonality gives

$$\int_0^\infty p_j(x) R(x) dx = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} R(x) &\propto \int_0^\infty \det \begin{bmatrix} W(x, \xi_0) & W(x, \xi_1) & \dots & W(x, \xi_m) \\ W(z_1, \xi_0) & W(z_1, \xi_1) & \dots & W(z_1, \xi_m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ W(z_m, \xi_0) & W(z_m, \xi_1) & \dots & W(z_m, \xi_m) \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{0 \leq r < s \leq m} (\xi_s - \xi_r) d\xi_0 d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_m \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

which says that z_1, \dots, z_m are the only distinct real non-negative zeros of $R(x)$ of odd multiplicity and therefore $p_j(x)R(x)$ has a constant sign, in contradiction to (3.12).

4. Conclusion

We have shown with the arguments of Ercolani and McLaughlin that if the weight $w(x, y)$ is such that $\det[w(x_i, y_j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,n} > 0$ for $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n, y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_n$ and moments $\int w(x, y)x^i y^j dx dy$ exist for all $i, j \geq 0$, then bi-orthogonal polynomials exist and their zeros are real, simple and lie in the respective supports of the weight $w(x, y)$. The same is true for a weight which is a convolution of several such weights.

Acknowledgment

I am grateful to P M Bleher for supplying me with a copy of the preprint of [1].

References

- [1] Ercolani N M and McLaughlin K T-R 2001 Asymptotic and integrable structures for bi-orthogonal polynomials associated to a random two matrix model *Physica D* **152–153** 232–68
- [2] Itzykson C and Zuber J-B 1980 The planar approximation II *J. Math. Phys.* **21** 411–21
Mehta M L 1981 A method of integration over matrix variables *Commun. Math. Phys.* **71** 327–40
Chaddha S, Mahoux G and Mehta M L 1981 A method of integration over matrix variables II *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **14** 571–86
Eynard B 1997 Eigenvalue distribution of large random matrices, from one matrix to several coupled matrices *Nucl. Phys. B* **506** 633–64
Eynard B 1998 Correlation functions of eigenvalues of multi-matrix models, and the limit of a time dependent matrix *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** 8081–102
Eynard B and Mehta M L 1998 Matrices coupled in a chain: I. Eigenvalue correlations *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** 4449–56
Mahoux G, Mehta M L and Normand J-M 1998 Matrices coupled in a chain: II. Spacing functions *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** 4457–64
- [3] For example, see Mehta M L 1991 *Random Matrices* (New York: Academic) appendix A.5
- [4] For example, see Mehta M L 1989 *Matrix Theory* (Z.I. de Courtaboeuf, 91944 Les Ulis Cedex, France: Les Editions de Physique) sections 3.7 and 7.1.3